



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/734,491	12/11/2003	Maksim Ioffe	NVID-078/00US 140060-2153	1636
23419      7590      08/22/2008 COOLEY GODWARD KRONISH LLP ATTN: Patent Group Suite 1100 777 - 6th Street, NW Washington, DC 20001				
EXAMINER WHIPPLE, BRIAN P				
ART UNIT 2152		PAPER NUMBER		
MAIL DATE 08/22/2008		DELIVERY MODE PAPER		

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/734,491	IOFFE ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	Brian P. Whipple	2152	

  

**All Participants:**

(1) Brian P. Whipple.

(2) Edward Van Gieson.

**Date of Interview:** 20 August 2008

**Type of Interview:**

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant    ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes    ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: \_\_\_\_\_

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

  

Claims discussed:

1, 4, and 10

  

Prior art documents discussed:

  

**Part II.**

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

*See Continuation Sheet*

**Part III.**

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

  
  
  
  
  
  
  
  
  
  

/Dohm Chankong/  
Examiner, Art Unit 2152

**Status of Application:** Pending

(3) Dohm Chankong.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

**Time:** 2:15 PM

  
  
  
  
  
  
  
  
  
  

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed:

Examiner indicated that claim 1 had been approved for allowance by Supervisor. Attorney noted several typos / minor errors to be fixed in claim 1 and several of its dependents. Attorney also indicated he would change claim 4 to be a dependent upon claim 1 (and amend accordingly) and then cancel claim 4's dependents. Claim 10 would be amended to more closely match the language of claim 1. Attorney will have a fax sent to Examiner with proposed language. Examiner will review the document, and if sufficient to overcome the rejections, will enter it formally as an Examiner's Amendment.

Brian P. Whipple

/B.P.W./

Examiner, Art Unit 2152

8/20/08